

1

2 **Molecular identification and characterization of Rickettsia spp. and other**
3 **tickborne pathogens in cattle and their ticks from Birjand, Iran**

4 Pejman Taji¹, Salomeh Shirali ^{1,2*}, Rahmat Solgi³ , Mehdi Sakha⁴

5 1. Department of Pathobiology, SR.C, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

6 2. Department of Biotechnology, Ahv.C Islamic Azad University, Ahvaz, Iran

7 3. Department of Parasitology and mycology, Infectious Diseases Research
8 Center,

9 Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran.

10 4. Department of Clinical Science, SR.C., Islamic/Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

11

12 * Corresponding authors: Salomeh.shirali@iau.ac.ir

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 **Abstract:**

25 **Introduction**

26 Tick-borne pathogens (TBPs) pose significant threats to both animal and human
27 health worldwide. Among these pathogens, *Babesia*, *Theileria*, and *Rickettsia*
28 species are of particular concern because of their prevalence in cattle and their
29 potential zoonotic impact.

30 **Objective**

31 The objective of this study was to investigate the prevalence of major tick-borne
32 pathogens in cattle and their associated tick species collected from different
33 geographical locations in eastern Iran using molecular approaches.

34 **Materials and Methods**

35 This cross-sectional study was conducted from January to June 2024. Blood
36 samples were collected from 100 heads of cattle, and ticks were simultaneously
37 removed from the animals in the study areas. A total of 95 ticks were
38 morphologically identified, including *Hyalomma marginatum* (42%, n = 40),
39 *Dermacentor marginatus* (36.8%, n = 35), and *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (21%, n
40 = 20). Both cattle blood samples and tick specimens were screened for tick-borne
41 pathogens using molecular techniques.

42 **Results**

43 The most frequently detected pathogen in cattle blood samples was *Rickettsia* spp.,
44 identified in 5% (n = 5) of the samples, followed by *Theileria annulata* detected in
45 4%. Overall, 7.3% (7/95) of the tick DNA pools tested positive for protozoan
46 pathogens. Sequencing analysis revealed infection of ticks with *Rickettsia conorii*
47 (3%), *Babesia bovis* (3%), and *Theileria annulata* (1%).

48 **Conclusion**

49 The results of this study demonstrate the presence of multiple tick-borne
50 pathogens in cattle and their associated tick species in eastern Iran. These findings
51 emphasize the need for continuous surveillance and the implementation of

52 appropriate control measures to reduce the risk of tick-borne diseases in animal
53 populations and potentially in humans

54 **Keywords:** Cattle, Polymerase chain reaction, Tick-borne pathogens, Iran

55 **1. Introduction**

56 The blood-feeding behavior of many arthropods, especially ticks and fleas, allows
57 them to act as important vectors for a wide range of viral, bacterial, and protozoan
58 pathogens that affect both animal and human health [1]. Some microorganisms can
59 survive within ticks throughout their developmental stages through transstadial
60 transmission, which supports their continued presence in tick populations [2].

61 Tick-borne diseases are a major concern for public and veterinary health worldwide.
62 Among the different tick genera involved in pathogen transmission, *Rhipicephalus*
63 species are particularly important due to their broad geographic distribution and
64 their ability to carry several infectious agents. In Iran, cattle are commonly infested
65 with *Rhipicephalus* ticks, which may contribute to the transmission of pathogens of
66 veterinary relevance as well as those with zoonotic potential [3].

67 Recent studies have indicated that ticks can transmit a variety of microorganisms,
68 including *Ehrlichia*, *Anaplasma*, hemotropic *Mycoplasma*, *Babesia*, and *Theileria*
69 species [4]. Infected animals may develop clinical outcomes ranging from
70 subclinical infection to severe or fatal disease. The use of molecular diagnostic
71 methods has greatly improved the detection of tick-borne pathogens. Traditional
72 diagnostic techniques, such as microscopic examination and serological tests, often
73 show limited sensitivity and specificity, particularly when pathogen levels are low
74 or when mixed infections are present [5]. In comparison, molecular methods such
75 as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and quantitative PCR (qPCR) provide more
76 reliable detection and allow accurate identification of closely related pathogens [5].
77 However, information on the prevalence and diversity of tick-borne pathogens in
78 cattle and their associated ticks in Iran is still limited [6]. Therefore, the present
79 study aimed to investigate the occurrence of major tick-borne pathogens in cattle
80 and their associated ticks in Iran using molecular methods.

81 **2. Materials and methods:**

82 2.1. Study area

83 The study was conducted from January to June 2024 in different locations in eastern
84 Iran. The research was carried out at the Islamic Azad University, Science and
85 Research Branch, Iran. The cattle included in the study had no history of deworming
86 or treatment with ectoparasiticides.

87 **2.3. DNA extraction**

88 Genomic DNA was extracted from blood and tick samples using a Blood DNA
89 Extraction Kit and the G-spin™ Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (iNtRON
90 Biotechnology, South Korea), respectively, according to the manufacturer's
91 instructions. The extracted DNA samples were stored at -20 °C until further
92 analysis. A total of 95 tick specimens were selected for DNA extraction. To
93 minimize the possibility of amplifying host blood DNA, visibly engorged ticks were
94 excluded from molecular analysis.

95 Prior to DNA extraction, ticks were removed from ethanol and air-dried at room
96 temperature. Each tick was then placed in a sterile Petri dish and bisected using a
97 sterile blade. One half of each specimen was used for DNA extraction, while the
98 remaining half was preserved for future analyses. Molecular identification and
99 confirmation of tick species were performed by PCR amplification and sequencing
100 following the methodology described by Latrofa et al. (2013) [9]. The quality and
101 concentration of the extracted DNA were evaluated using agarose gel
102 electrophoresis and a NanoDrop spectrophotometer.

103 **2.4. PCR amplification**

104 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to detect tick-borne pathogens (TBPs)
105 in DNA samples obtained from cattle and ticks. Positive PCR products were
106 subsequently subjected to DNA sequencing for accurate pathogen identification.
107 Primers targeting conserved genomic regions of *Rickettsia* spp. and
108 *Babesia/Theileria* spp. were used in the assays. Details of the target genes, primer
109 sequences, PCR conditions, and corresponding references are provided in Table 1.
110 Following amplification, PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel
111 electrophoresis to confirm the presence of expected DNA fragments. A 1.5%
112 agarose gel was prepared, and DNA bands were stained using ethidium bromide or

113 SYBR Safe. Five microliters of each PCR product were mixed with loading dye
 114 and loaded into the gel wells. Electrophoresis was performed at 100 V for 30–
 115 45 min, and the bands were visualized under ultraviolet light. The sizes of the
 116 amplified products were determined by comparison with a DNA ladder to confirm
 117 pathogen identity.

118 Primer sequences and PCR conditions used for tick species, *Rickettsia* spp.,
 119 *Babesia/Theileria* spp. identification.

| Assay | Targ et | Primer | size | Referenc es |
|---|-------------|--|----------|----------------|
| Rickettsia spp. | 16S rRNA | TAAGGAGGTAATCCAGCC CCTG GCTCAGAACGAA | 148 (23) | 2 |
| Babesia spp. | 18S rRNA | AATACCCAATCCTGACACAGGG TTAAATACGAATGCCCCCAAC | 408 (24) | |
| Theileria | 18S rRNA | GAGGTAGTGACAAGAAATAA CAATA TCTTCGATCCCCTAACTTTC | 390 (25) | 430 |
| Mitochondr ial 16S ribosomal DNA | 16S rDNA | CTGCTCAATGATTTTTTAAATTGCT GTGG TTACGCTGTTATCCCTAGAG | 350 (24) | 450 |

120
 121
 122

123 2.5. Sequencing

124 Following the successful amplification of target genes through PCR, the resulting
 125 PCR products were purified using a commercial DNA purification kit (e.g., Qiagen
 126 QIAquick PCR Purification Kit) to remove excess primers, nucleotides, and
 127 enzymes. The purified DNA was then subjected to Sanger sequencing, which was
 128 performed by a commercial sequencing facility. To confirm the identity of the
 129 sequenced products, the obtained sequences were subjected to a Basic Local

130 Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) search against the National Center for
131 Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database.

132 **2.6.Ethical Considerations**

133 All sampling procedures were conducted in accordance with ethical guidelines for
134 animal research. Informed consent was obtained from wners prior to blood
135 collection, and all efforts were made to minimize discomfort and stress to the
136 animals during the sampling process.

137 **3- RESULT**

138 From the total 95 ticks collected, 40 (42 %) were morphologically identified as
139 *Hyalomma marginatum*. and 35 (36.8%) as *Dermacentor marginatus*, and 20 (21%)
140 *Rhipicephalus sanguines*. A total of two *H. marginatum*, five *D. marginatus*, and
141 three *R. sanguines* were further studied on 16S rDNA mitochondrial genes for
142 molecular identification. From the sequence analysis Table 2 of the 16S rDNA
143 mitochondrial gene of the two *H. marginatum* ticks, both showed to be identical,
144 sharing 99.5 identity with *H. marginatum* (PP937568.1) from Egypt. The 16S
145 rDNA analysis of the *D. marginatus* ticks, showed that all five were identical,
146 sharing 100 identity with an Kazakhstan *D. marginatus* (OR486023.1). Analysis
147 for the 16S rDNA mitochondrial gene of three ticks also showed that all were
148 identical, sharing 98.9% with *R. sanguines* (MK732015.1) from Portugal. From the
149 total of 95 ticks studied, three (3.1%) were found positive for rickettsiae using the
150 16srRNA assay, all in *R. sanguines* ticks. Further characterization of the 16srRNA
151 sequences showed an identity between 99.8 with *R. conorii* (NR_074480.2). When
152 screening for *Babesia* genera using the 18S rRNA gene only three tick (*H.*
153 *marginatum*) (3.1%) amplified a product of the expected size. The analysis of 18S
154 rRNA sequence obtained showed 99.4% identity with *Babesia bovis* (OL583948.1).
155 When screening for *Theileria* genera using the 18S rRNA gene only one tick (*R.*
156 *sanguineus*) (1%) amplified a product of the expected size. The analysis of 8S
157 rRNA sequence obtained showed 99.6% identity with *T. annulata* (MF287930.1).
158 Regarding blood specimens, five sample was found positive for rickettsiae, by using
159 the 16SrRNA PCR assays. From the 100 blood specimens tested for *Theileria*
160 protozoan parasites four (4%) presented amplified products of the expected size.

161 The amplified sequences of rickettsiae and *Theileria* were same as the tick isolated.
 162 GenBank accession numbers of tick sequences obtained in this study are:
 163 PV490755 (*Hyalomma marginatum*), PV490754 (*Dermacentor marginatus*),
 164 PV490756 (*Rhipicephalus sanguines*). GenBank accession numbers of *Rickettsia*
 165 sequences obtained in this study is: PV490810 (*R. conorii*). GenBank accession
 166 numbers of *Theileria* sequences obtained in this study is: PV490808. GenBank
 167 accession numbers of *Babesia* sequences obtained in this study is PV490811.
 168 (Table 2).

169 Table2.Tick-borne pathogens present in cattle blood and tick specimens

| | <i>R. conorii</i> | <i>B. bovis</i> | <i>T. annulata</i> |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Cattle blood | 5 | 0 | 4 |
| Adult ticks | 3 | 3 | 1 |

170

171 4- DISCUSSION

172 In the present study, molecular methods were employed to detect *Rickettsia*,
 173 *Babesia*, and *Theileria* species in blood samples obtained from apparently healthy
 174 cattle as well as from their associated tick specimens. Morphological examination
 175 revealed that cattle in Iran were predominantly infested with *Hyalomma*
 176 *marginatum*, *Dermacentor marginatus*, and *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*. The
 177 findings showed that 10% of cattle with heavy tick infestations were positive for
 178 tick-borne pathogens (TBPs), indicating a notable level of pathogen exposure
 179 among animals in the study area. This prevalence is slightly higher than that
 180 reported in a previous Iranian study, which documented a TBP infection rate of 8%
 181 [10]. Among the detected agents, *Rickettsia conorii* was the most frequently
 182 identified pathogen, being found in 5% of cattle blood samples and 3% of pooled
 183 tick DNA samples. This result differs from earlier reports in Iran that described a
 184 considerably higher overall prevalence of *Rickettsia* spp. (24.9%; 95% CI: 20.28–
 185 29.52) [11]. The pronounced level of tick infestation observed in the current study

186 suggests a high degree of environmental contamination in the sampled regions,
187 which likely increases the risk of cattle exposure to infected ticks, as also noted by
188 previous studies [12]. These observations emphasize the need for effective control
189 measures directed at both livestock and their ectoparasites [13,14]. The 18S rRNA
190 gene of *Theileria* and *Babesia* was detected exclusively in *R. sanguineus* and *H.*
191 *marginatum* ticks collected from cattle, respectively. Partial sequencing of the 18S
192 rRNA gene from positive tick samples demonstrated a high degree of sequence
193 similarity with *Theileria annulata* and *Babesia bovis* sequences available in the
194 GenBank database. Both *T. annulata* and *B. bovis* are well-known tick-borne
195 pathogens affecting cattle and other domestic ruminants, including sheep and goats,
196 as well as wild ruminant species [15]. Theileriosis and babesiosis are among the
197 most important parasitic diseases of livestock, causing substantial economic losses
198 worldwide [16,17]. Previous investigations have examined the distribution of
199 bovine theileriosis in eastern and northern regions of Iran and have also reported
200 molecular characterization and phylogenetic analysis of the 18S rRNA gene from
201 *Theileria* and *Babesia* isolates recovered from domestic animals in these areas [18].
202 Molecular techniques, particularly PCR amplification followed by DNA
203 sequencing, are widely accepted as reliable methods for epidemiological studies
204 and phylogenetic analysis of tick-borne pathogens, especially piroplasmids [19]. In
205 the present study, PCR targeting the 18S rRNA gene was applied for the detection
206 of *Theileria* and *Babesia* DNA. Broad-range PCR assays directed at this gene,
207 together with partial sequencing, have previously enabled the identification of
208 several known and novel *Babesia* and *Theileria* species [20]. In contrast, the
209 relatively low prevalence of *B. bovis* observed in this study is comparable with
210 findings from Egypt and Tunisia, where prevalence rates of 8.0% [21] and 3.0%
211 [22] were reported, respectively.

212 Unlike previous studies conducted in Iran, which reported a prevalence of
213 babesiosis in cattle up to 42% [23]., no cases were observed in the present study. In
214 the present study, the prevalence of *Babesia spp* in ticks collected from cattle being
215 3% while being zero in the cattle. This discrepancy could be due to several reasons:
216 The infected ticks did not successfully transmit the *Babesia* parasite to the cattle
217 during the feeding process; The cattle may have had some level of immunity against

218 *Babesia* that prevented them from becoming infected even when exposed to
219 infected ticks and the tick population collected for the study may not have been
220 actively feeding on the cattle, leading to a lower likelihood of transmission [24].

221

222

223 **CONCLUSION**

224 This study verifies the presence of multiple vector-borne pathogens (VBPs) in cattle
225 in east of Iran, likely due to their significant exposure to arthropod vectors like ticks.
226 While the implementation of regular preventive measures may be hindered by a
227 lack of financial resources, public-private partnerships could enhance efforts to
228 reduce the risk of VBP transmission, particularly those of zoonotic significance.
229 This study concludes that *R. sanguineus* and *H. marginatum* are the primary vectors
230 responsible for babesiosis and theileriosis in east of Iran.

231 **Acknowledgements**

232 The authors would like to express the deepest thanks from the Birjand university of
233 medical science for their assistance.

234 **Ethical approval**

235 All animal owners were interviewed for sampling and consents were taken. This
236 study has been approved by the Research Ethics Committee at Azad University
237 IR.IAU.SRB.REC.1403.330. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

238 **Fund**

239 The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the
240 preparation of this manuscript.

241 **Conflict of interest**

242 The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

243 **Author Contributions**

244 Study concept and design:P.T

245 Acquisition of data:,M.S

246 Analysis and interpretation of data: S.SH &R.S
247 Drafting of the manuscript: S.SH &R.S Critical
248 revision of the manuscript for important
249 intellectual content: R.S
250 Statistical analysis: M.S, P.T
251 Administrative, technical, and material support:P.T **Data**

252 **Availability Statement:**

253 The data that support the findings of this study are available
254 upon request from the corresponding author

255

256

257

258

259 REFERENCES

- 260 1. Nuttall PA. Tick saliva and its role in pathogen transmission. Wiener
261 klinische Wochenschrift. 2023;135(7):165–76.
- 262 2. Kalmar Z, Cozma V, Sprong H, Jahfari S, D’Amico G, Mărcuțan DI, et al.
263 Transstadial transmission of *Borrelia turcica* in *Hyalomma aegyptium* ticks. PLoS
264 One. 2015;10(2):e0115520.
- 265 3. Mostafavi SM, Khalili M, Akhtardanesh B, Nourollahifard SR, Esmaeili S.
266 *Rickettsia* spp. in *Rhipicephalus sanguineus sensu lato* ticks collected from stray
267 dogs in Kerman city, Iran. *Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases* 2022;13(5):101985.
- 268 4. Alieva E, Bondarenko E, Maliy K, Shvalov A, Verbenets E, Gafarova M. The role
269 of *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* ticks parasitizing dogs in the spread of tick-borne
270 rickettsial pathogens in the city of Sevastopol. *New microbes and new infections*
271 2020;36:100704.

- 272 5. Huynh LN, Diarra AZ, Pham QL, Le-Viet N, Berenger J-M, Ho VH, et al.
273 Morphological, molecular and MALDI-TOF MS identification of ticks and
274 tick-associated pathogens in Vietnam. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*
275 2021;15(9):e0009813.
- 276 6. Fathi A, Nabavi R, Noaman V, Sarani A, Saadati D, Ben Said M, et al. Molecular
277 identification, risk factor assessment, and phylogenetic analysis of tick-borne
278 pathogens in symptomatic and asymptomatic cattle from South-Eastern Iran.
279 *Experimental and Applied Acarology* 2024;92(3):479-506.
- 280 7. Lorusso V, Dantas-Torres F, Lia RP, Tarallo V, Mencke N, Capelli G, et al.
281 Seasonal dynamics of the brown dog tick, *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*, on a confined
282 dog population in Italy. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 2010;24(3):309-15.
- 283 8. Nava S, Beati L, Venzal JM, Labruna MB, Szabó MP, Petney T, et al.
284 *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (Latreille, 1806): Neotype designation, morphological
285 re-description of all parasitic stages and molecular characterization. *Ticks and*
286 *tickborne diseases* 2018;9(6):1573-85.
- 287 9. Latrofa MS, Dantas-Torres F, Annoscia G, Cantacessi C, Otranto D. Comparative
288 analyses of mitochondrial and nuclear genetic markers for the molecular
289 identification of *Rhipicephalus* spp. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution* 2013;20:422-
290 7.
- 291 10. Iatta R, Sazmand A, Nguyen V-L, Nemati F, Ayaz MM, Bahiraei Z, et al.
292 Vector-borne pathogens in dogs of different regions of Iran and Pakistan.
293 *Parasitology Research* 2021:1-10.
- 294 11. Qorbani A, Khalili M, Nourollahifard S, Mostafavi E, Farrokhnia M, Esmaili
295 S. Diversity of *Rickettsia* species in collected ticks from Southeast Iran. *BMC*
296 *Veterinary Research* 2024;20(1):279.
- 297 12. Otranto D, Dantas-Torres F, Weigl S, Latrofa MS, Stanneck D, Decapariis D,
298 et al. Diagnosis of *Hepatozoon canis* in young dogs by cytology and PCR.
299 *Parasites & vectors* 2011;4:1-6.

- 300 13. Otranto D, Mendoza-Roldan JA, Beugnet F, Baneth G, Dantas-Torres F. New
301 paradigms in the prevention of canine vector-borne diseases. *Trends in*
302 *Parasitology* 2024.
- 303 14. Rakhshanpour A, Malmasi A, Mohebbali M, Nabian S, Mirhendi H, Zarei Z, et
304 al. Transmission of *Leishmania infantum* by *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (Acari:
305 Ixodidae) in dogs. *Iranian journal of parasitology* 2017;12(4):482.
- 306 15. Majidiani H, Nabavi R, Ganjali M, Saadati D. Detection of *Theileria annulata*
307 carriers in Holstein–Friesian (*Bos taurus taurus*) and Sistani (*Bos taurus*
308 *indicus*) cattle breeds by polymerase chain reaction in Sistan region, Iran.
309 *Journal of parasitic diseases* 2016;40:1184-8.
- 310 16. Almazán C, Scimeca RC, Reichard MV, Mosqueda J. Babesiosis and
311 theileriosis in North America. *Pathogens* 2022;11(2):168.
- 312 17. Rashid M, Akbar H, Rashid I, Saeed K, Ahmad L, Ahmad AS, et al. Economic
313 significance of tropical theileriosis on a Holstein Friesian dairy farm in
314 Pakistan. *The Journal of parasitology* 2018;104(3):310-2.
- 315 18. Soosaraei M, Haghi MM, Etemadifar F, Fakhar M, Teshnizi SH, Hezarjaribi
316 HZ, et al. Status of theileriosis among herbivores in Iran: A systematic review
317 and meta-analysis. *Veterinary world* 2018;11(3):332.
- 318 19. Soares JF, Giroto A, Brandão PE, Da Silva AS, Franca RT, Lopes ST, et al.
319 Detection and molecular characterization of a canine piroplasm from Brazil.
320 *Veterinary Parasitology* 2011;180(3-4):203-8.
- 321 20. Adjou Moumouni PF, Aboge GO, Terkawi MA, Masatani T, Cao S,
322 Kamyngkird K, et al. Molecular detection and characterization of *Babesia bovis*,
323 *Babesia bigemina*, *Theileria* species and *Anaplasma marginale* isolated from cattle
324 in Kenya. *Parasites & vectors* 2015;8:1-14.
- 325 21. Mahmoud HY, Shahat MS, Fereig RM, Ali AO, Emeish WF, Soliman AM, et
326 al. Molecular detection and characterization of *Anaplasma marginale* and
327 *Babesia canis vogeli* infecting dogs in Luxor, Egypt. *Scientific Reports*
328 *2024;14(1):16888*.

- 329 22. Bouattour A, Chabchoub A, Hajjaji I, M'ghirbi Y. Hepatozoon canis and
330 Babesia vogeli infections of dogs in Tunisia. *Veterinary Parasitology:
331 Regional Studies and Reports* 2021;23:100512.
- 332 23. Razmi G. A review on Babesia spp. and tick vectors in animals in Iran. *Iranian
333 Journal of Veterinary Science and Technology* 2022;14(2):1-0.
- 334 24. Fesseha H, Mathewos M, Eshetu E, Tefera B. Babesiosis in cattle and ixodid
335 tick distribution in Dasenech and Salamago Districts, southern Ethiopia.
336 *Scientific reports* 2022; 12(1):6385.

Preprint