



Research Paper

Molecular Detection of *Campylobacter* Species From
Broiler Flocks in Kerman

Hemad Shafiei^{1*}, Maryam Kalidari², Mohammad Zamani Ahmadmahmudi¹, Maziar Jajarmi³, Mohammadjavad Jahedi², Pooneh Hajipour⁴

1. Department of Clinical Science, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran.

2. Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran.

3. Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran.

4. Department of Avian Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

Use your device to scan
and read the article online



How to cite this article Shafiei H, Kalidari M, Zamani Ahmadmahmudi M, Jajarmi M, Jahedi M, Hajipour P. Molecular Detection of *Campylobacter* Species From Broiler Flocks in Kerman. *Archives of Razi Institute Journal*. 2026; 81(4):847-854. <https://doi.org/10.32598/ARI.81.4.3614>

doi <https://doi.org/10.32598/ARI.81.4.3614>

Article info:

Received: 10 Feb 2026

Accepted: 23 May 2026

Published: 01 Jul 2026

Keywords:

Broiler chickens,
Campylobacter, *Campylobacter*
coli, *Campylobacter jejuni*,
Kerman

ABSTRACT

Introduction: In recent years, the increase in poultry meat consumption and food safety concerns have made the control of foodborne illnesses essential. *Campylobacter* is one of the most important causes of bacterial gastroenteritis in humans, and poultry, especially broiler chickens, are considered the main source of its transmission. *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* species are transmitted through contaminated poultry meat, water, or direct contact and pose a threat to public health. Given the lack of effective measures to reduce contamination in the poultry production chain, identifying and monitoring this bacterium is necessary. Therefore, this study was designed and conducted to detect *Campylobacter* species using molecular methods from broiler flocks in Kerman City.

Materials & Methods: In this study, samples were collected from the liver and spleen of 20 broiler flocks at an industrial slaughterhouse in Kerman during the winter of 2024. DNA extraction was performed using the SinaClon kit according to the standard protocol. For the detection of *Campylobacter* and its species, a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) reaction was performed with three specific primers, including the *16S rRNA* gene for the *Campylobacter* genus, the *mapA* gene for *C. jejuni*, and the *ceuE* gene for *C. coli*. PCR products were electrophoresed on a 1.5% agarose gel and examined at 75 volts for 1.5 hours.

Results: In this study, out of 200 swab samples examined, 16 samples (8%) were positive for *Campylobacter* genus DNA in the PCR test. Among the 16 positive samples, eight samples (50%) contained *C. jejuni* and 10 samples (62.5%) contained *C. coli*. Also, two samples were simultaneously infected with both *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*.

* Corresponding Author:

Hemad Shafiei, Assistant Professor.

Address: Department of Clinical Science, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran.

E-mail: hemad.shafiei@uk.ac.ir



Copyright © 2026 The Author(s);
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).
Noncommercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

Conclusion: Overall, the findings of this study indicate a relatively low prevalence of *Campylobacter* in broiler flocks in Kerman City. This lower contamination rate could be due to better management conditions on farms, differences in sampling methods, or other environmental and technical factors. Further investigations considering larger sample sizes, diverse geographical areas, and complementary diagnostic methods can contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of *Campylobacter* epidemiology in the poultry population.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the global surge in chicken meat consumption has precipitated escalating concerns regarding food safety and the proliferation of foodborne illnesses. Bacteria belonging to the genus *Campylobacter*, recognized as the principal etiological agents of bacterial gastroenteritis in humans, play a significant role in this context. Poultry is acknowledged as the primary vehicle for the transmission of *Campylobacter* species to the human population [1]. The elevated prevalence of *Campylobacter* contamination in broiler chickens, which has been identified by the World Health Organization (WHO) as a major contributor to diarrheal diseases worldwide, is instrumental in this transmission dynamic [1]. These bacteria are commonly isolated from poultry farm environments and their surrounding areas [2]. *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*, the predominant species implicated in human infections, are transmitted through the consumption of contaminated chicken meat, exposure to polluted water sources, or direct contact with infected animals [3].

Chicken meat, constituting the second most prevalent source of animal protein in urban households, has experienced a notable increase in per capita consumption in Iran, rising from 21.83 kg in 2008 to 32 kg in 2019, and thus holds a position of considerable importance in the human diet [4]. This upward trend in consumption patterns accentuates concerns pertaining to the contamination of this essential food commodity with *Campylobacter*.

Campylobacter bacteria, characterized as gram-negative, curved or spiral-shaped microorganisms, are typically isolated from the gastrointestinal tract of avian species, with infections frequently presenting asymptotically [5]. Nevertheless, these bacteria may be transmitted to humans through the consumption of contaminated chicken meat, thereby inducing gastrointestinal disease. Scholarly investigations indicate that *Campylobacter*, in addition to its presence in the gastrointestinal system, can also disseminate to other internal tissues of poultry, giving rise to potential public health issues [6].

The high prevalence of *Campylobacter* in poultry flocks, particularly among broiler chickens, and its positive correlation with advancing avian age, underscores the importance of implementing control measures for this bacterium throughout the chicken meat production continuum [7]. A multitude of studies have documented the elevated prevalence of *Campylobacter* contamination in broiler chickens across diverse regions within Iran [8]. For instance, a study conducted by Ansari (2023) revealed that white meat, and particularly chicken meat, exhibits the highest rate of *Campylobacter* contamination in Iran [8].

The transmission of *Campylobacter* to poultry rearing facilities can occur through a variety of sources, including aged litter, contaminated drinking water, farm animals, insects, and equipment [9]. Effective control of this bacterium in poultry farming operations presents a considerable challenge, necessitating the meticulous implementation of biosecurity and hygienic protocols [10].

Diagnostic methodologies for *Campylobacter* encompass microbial culture, immunological assays, and molecular techniques. Molecular methods, particularly polymerase chain reaction (PCR), due to their enhanced speed and accuracy, are of paramount importance in the detection and identification of diverse *Campylobacter* species [11].

Considering the importance of public health and the role of chicken meat in transmitting *Campylobacter* to humans, this study aims to molecularly detect *Campylobacter* species from broiler flocks in Kerman. It seeks to detect *Campylobacter* molecularly in broiler chickens within this region and to identify *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* among the PCR-positive samples. The results are expected to enhance understanding of the contamination levels of broiler flocks with *Campylobacter* in this region and support the development of effective strategies to control and reduce the risks posed by this bacterium.

Table 1. Primer sequences and PCR conditions for detection of *Campylobacter* species

Primer	Sequence (5'–3')	Annealing Temperature (°C)	Product Size (bp)
<i>Campylobacter</i> spp.	F: ATCTAATGGCTTAACCATTAAC R: GGACGGTAACTAGTTTAGTATT	56	857
<i>C. jejuni</i>	F: CTATTTTATTTTGAGTGCTTGTG R: CTTTATTGCCATTGTTTATTA	59 (corrected from 89)	589
<i>C. coli</i>	F: AATTGAAAATTGCTCCAATATG R: TGATTTTATTATTGTAGCAGCG	58	462

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling

Sampling was conducted on 20 broiler flocks of the Ross breed presented at an industrial slaughterhouse in Kerman during the winter season (February to March 2024). From each flock, 10 birds were sampled, and tissue samples from both the liver and spleen of each bird were collected. The microtubes containing the samples were placed in ice-filled containers and transported to the laboratory as quickly as possible to prevent DNA degradation.

2.2. DNA extraction

DNA extraction from the tissues was performed using the SinaClone kit (Tehran, Iran) following the manufacturer's protocol. The kit is specifically designed to extract DNA from animal tissues and offers high yield and purity. Briefly, 400 µL of lysis buffer was added to the microtubes to disrupt the cells and release DNA. Subsequently, 30 µL of carrier RNA was added to enhance extraction yield, followed by 200 µL of the tissue sample. After vortexing and short centrifugation, 20 µL of proteinase K was added to the samples (excluding nasopharyngeal and serum samples) and incubated at 72 °C for 10 minutes. Proteinase K digests proteins bound to DNA, facilitating DNA extraction. Incubation at 72 °C increases the activity of this enzyme. Then, 300 µL of binding buffer was added and the tubes were gently inverted. In the following steps, wash I and wash II buffers were used for washing, and several centrifugations were performed to isolate the DNA. Finally, the extracted DNA was collected with 50 µL of elution buffer.

2.3. PCR Assay

The PCR assay was performed using three primers designed to identify the *Campylobacter* genus (*16S rRNA* gene), *C. jejuni* (*mapA*), and *C. coli* (*ceuE*). The PCR protocol consisted of initial denaturation (95 °C, 10 min), 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 sec, primer-specific annealing

(Table 1) for 1.5 min, and 72 °C for 1 min, followed by final extension (72 °C, 10 min).

2.4. Polymerase chain reaction product analysis

A tris-borate-EDTA (T.B.E.) solution was prepared with 10.8 g of Tris base, 5.5 g of boric acid, and 0.75 g of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) in one liter of distilled water. One hundred mL of T.B.E. was heated, agarose was dissolved in it, 10 µL of Green Viewer was added, and the mixture was poured into a mold. The mold was kept at room temperature for 15–20 minutes, and then at 4 °C for 15–20 minutes. The gel was separated from the mold, and electrophoresis was performed.

3. Results

3.1. Identification of *Campylobacter* genus

Of the 200 swab samples obtained from the liver and spleen samples, 16 samples (8%) were positive for *Campylobacter* genus DNA using the PCR method (Figure 1).

3.2. Identification of *C. jejuni*

Among the 16 samples that were positive for the *Campylobacter* genus, eight samples (50%) were reported positive for *C. jejuni* DNA in the PCR assay (Figure 2).

3.3. Identification of *C. coli*

Within the 16 samples positive for the *Campylobacter* genus, 10 samples (62.5%) were determined to be positive for *C. coli* DNA using the PCR method (Figure 3, Table 2).

4. Discussion

Several studies have demonstrated that *Campylobacter* can translocate from the intestinal tract to internal organs such as the liver by penetrating the mucosal barrier, subsequently colonizing these sites. These findings

Table 2. Number and percentage of samples positive for *Campylobacter* spp. (*C. jejuni* and *C. coli*)

Category		No. (%)	
		Among <i>Campylobacter</i> Positive Samples (n=16)	Among Total Samples (n=200)
<i>Campylobacter</i> genus	Positive	16(100)	16(8)
	Negative	0(0)	184(92)
<i>C. jejuni</i>	Positive	8(50)	8(4)
	Negative	8(50)	192(96)
<i>C. coli</i>	Positive	10(62.5)	10(5)
	Negative	6(37.5)	190(95)

challenge the traditional perception of *Campylobacter* as a harmless commensal in chickens and raise concerns for both poultry and public health, especially considering the link between contaminated chicken liver and human infections [6].

The prevalence observed in this study is similar to that reported in Denmark (9–15%) and China (12%) [12]. However, some studies have reported higher rates: Noormohamed et al. (2012) [13] found 67% contamination in liver and gizzard samples from retail chickens, Berrang et al. (2019) [14] reported 83% prevalence in broiler carcasses, and Nouri Gharajalar et al. (2020) [15] found 43% contamination in chicken livers [13–15].

The prevalence of *Campylobacter* is known to vary by region, season, and production system. Higher rates are often observed in summer and autumn [16]. In contrast, the present study was conducted in winter, which may account for the lower contamination rate compared to studies with longer or seasonally broader sampling periods.

Khalili et al. (2009) reported a 3.3% prevalence of *C. jejuni* in cecal samples from Kerman, which aligns with our findings [17]. Other studies have shown varied distributions of *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*. For example, Noormohamed et al. (2012) [13] found similar frequencies for both species, while Schets et al. (2017) reported *C. coli* as predominant in laying hens and *C. jejuni* in broilers [13, 18]. In contrast, Yeh et al. (2022) reported 72% *C. jejuni* and 28% *C. coli* in chicken livers [19]. Similarly, Iranian studies often report *C. jejuni* as the dominant species [20, 21].

Differences in species prevalence may be influenced by host species, production systems, or antibiotic pressure, as *C. coli* tends to be more resistant to antibiotics [22, 23]. Moreover, sampling methods affect detection rates; studies that sample multiple tissues (e.g. liver, giz-

zard, feces) tend to report higher prevalence than those using fewer or more limited sample types.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the present study reports a relatively low prevalence of *Campylobacter* in broiler flocks in Kerman, potentially due to better farm management, seasonal factors, or sampling methodology. Broader studies using standardized sampling and diagnostic techniques are needed for a clearer understanding of *Campylobacter* epidemiology in poultry.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their appreciation for the cooperation of [Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman](#), Kerman, Iran.

Compliance with ethical guidelines

Ethical approval was deemed unnecessary, as all sampling and testing procedures were performed on carcasses obtained from slaughterhouses, thereby eliminating the need for the use of experimental animals.

Funding

This research did not receive any grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or non-profit sectors.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization, study design, supervision, review and editing: Hemad Shafiei; Data acquisition: Maziar Jajarmi, Maryam Kalidari; Analysis and data interpretation: Hemad Shafiei, Maryam Kalidari, Maziar Jajarmi, and Mohammad Zamani Ahmadmhamudi; Statistical analysis: Maziar Jajarmi and Mohammad Zamani Ah-

madmahmudi; Writing the original draft: Mohammadjavah Jahedi and Pooneh Hajipour; Administrative, technical, and material support: Maziar Jajarmi, Mohammad Zamani Ahmadmahmudi, and Pooneh Hajipour.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

References

- [1] Skirrow MB. Campylobacter enteritis: A "new" disease. *Br Med J*. 1977; 2(6078):9-11. [DOI:10.1136/bmj.2.6078.9] [PMID]
- [2] Ellis-Iversen J, Ridley A, Morris V, Sowa A, Harris J, Atterbury R, et al. Persistent environmental reservoirs on farms as risk factors for Campylobacter in commercial poultry. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2012; 140(5):916-24. [DOI:10.1017/S095026881100118X] [PMID]
- [3] Kaakoush NO, Castaño-Rodríguez N, Mitchell HM, Man SM. Global epidemiology of Campylobacter infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2015; 28(3):687-720. [DOI:10.1128/CMR.00006-15] [PMID]
- [4] World Health Organization. Measures for the control of non-typhoidal Salmonella spp. in poultry meat: meeting report. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2024. [Link]
- [5] Garrity GM, Bell JA, Lilburn T. Helicobacteraceae fam. nov. In: *Bergey's manual of systematics of archaea and bacteria*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2015. [DOI:10.1002/9781118960608.fbm00211] [PMID]
- [6] Laconi A, Drigo I, Palmieri N, Carraro L, Tonon E, Franch R, et al. Genomic analysis of extra-intestinal Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli isolated from commercial chickens. *Vet Microbiol*. 2021; 259:109161. [DOI:10.1016/j.vet-mic.2021.109161] [PMID]
- [7] Van Overbeke I, Duchateau L, De Zutter L, Albers G, Ducatelle R. A comparison survey of organic and conventional broiler chickens for infectious agents affecting health and food safety. *Avian Dis*. 2006; 50(2):196-200. [DOI:10.1637/7448-093005R.1] [PMID]
- [8] Ansarifard E, Riahi SM, Tasara T, Sadighara P, Zeinali T. Campylobacter prevalence from food, animals, human and environmental samples in Iran: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Microbiol*. 2023; 23(1):126. [DOI:10.1186/s12866-023-02879-w] [PMID]
- [9] Sahin O, Kassem II, Shen Z, Lin J, Rajashekara G, Zhang Q. Campylobacter in poultry: Ecology and potential interventions. *Avian Dis*. 2015; 59(2):185-200. [DOI:10.1637/11072-032315-Review] [PMID]
- [10] Hermans D, Pasmans F, Messens W, Martel A, Van Immerseel F, Rasschaert G, et al. Poultry as a host for the zoonotic pathogen Campylobacter jejuni. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis*. 2012; 12(2):89-98. [DOI:10.1089/vbz.2011.0676] [PMID]
- [11] Sahin O, Zhang Q, Meitzler JC, Harr BS, Morishita TY, Mohan R. Prevalence, antigenic specificity, and bactericidal activity of poultry anti-Campylobacter maternal antibodies. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2001; 67(9):3951-7. [DOI:10.1128/AEM.67.9.3951-3957.2001] [PMID]
- [12] Rivoal K, Ragimbeau C, Salvat G, Colin P, Ermet G. Genomic diversity of Campylobacter coli and Campylobacter jejuni isolates recovered from free-range broiler farms and comparison with isolates of various origins. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2005; 71(10):6216-27. [DOI:10.1128/AEM.71.10.6216-6227.2005] [PMID]
- [13] Noormohamed A, Fakhr MK. Incidence and Antimicrobial Resistance Profiling of Campylobacter in Retail Chicken Livers and Gizzards. *Foodborne Pathog Dis*. 2012; 9(7):617-24. [DOI:10.1089/fpd.2011.1074] [PMID]
- [14] Berrang ME, Meinersmann RJ, Cox NA. Campylobacter subtypes detected in broiler ceca and livers collected at slaughter. *Poult Sci*. 2019; 98(11):5908-12. [DOI:10.3382/ps/pz340] [PMID]
- [15] Nouri Gharajalar S, Hassanzadeh P, Hosseinali Nejad N. Molecular detection of Campylobacter species and Cytolethal distending toxin isolated from chicken livers in Tabriz. *Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2020; 71:101474. [DOI:10.1016/j.cimid.2020.101474] [PMID]
- [16] Luangtongkum T, Morishita TY, Ison AJ, Huang S, McDermott PF, Zhang Q. Effect of conventional and organic production practices on the prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Campylobacter spp. in poultry. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2006; 72(5):3600-7. [DOI:10.1128/AEM.72.5.3600-3607.2006] [PMID]
- [17] Khalili M, Mansourinajand L. [Frequency of Campylobacter jejuni in cecal content of Kerman poultry farms (Persian)]. *Iran J Biol*. 2010; 22(4):730-3. [Link]
- [18] Schets FM, Jacobs-Reitsma WF, van der Plaats RQJ, Heer LK, van Hoek AHAM, Hamidjaja RA, et al. Prevalence and types of Campylobacter on poultry farms and in their direct environment. *J Water Health*. 2017; 15(6):849-62. [DOI:10.2166/wh.2017.119] [PMID]
- [19] Yeh HY, Cox NA, Hinton Jr A, Berrang ME, Lawrence JRP, Thompson TM. Prevalence and characterization of quinolone resistance in Campylobacter spp. isolates in chicken livers from retail stores in Georgia, USA. *J Food Prot*. 2022; 85(3):406-13. [DOI:10.4315/JFP-21-357] [PMID]
- [20] Havaei SA, Pishva E, Tabibian A, Rabani M, Haghshenas F, Narimani T. [Cytolethal distending toxin (CDT) produced by Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli isolated from chickens by tissue culture method in Isfahan (Persian)]. *Iran J Med Microbiol*. 2007; 1(3):17-23. [Link]
- [21] Banisharif G, Karimi S, Momtaz H. [Virulence and antimicrobial resistance pattern in Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli strains isolated from the liver of slaughtered broiler chickens in Chaharmahal va Bakhtiari province (Persian)]. *New Find Vet Microbiol*. 2019; 2(1):15-25. [DOI:10.35066/J040.2019.427] [PMID]

- [22] Allen VM, Weaver H, Ridley AM, Harris JA, Sharma M, Emery J, et al. Sources and spread of thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. during partial depopulation of broiler chicken flocks. *J Food Prot.* 2008; 71(2):264-70. [DOI:10.4315/0362-028X-71.2.264] [PMID]
- [23] Wang Y, Dong Y, Deng F, Liu D, Yao H, Zhang Q, et al. Species shift and multidrug resistance of *Campylobacter* from chicken and swine, China, 2008-14. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2016; 71(3):666-9. [DOI:10.1093/jac/dkv382] [PMID]

This Page Intentionally Left Blank