

Efficacy of different formulations of essential oils against the cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) under laboratory conditions

Elham Roozdar¹, Behzad Habibpour^{1,*}, Mohammad Saeed Mossadegh¹ & Mohammad Mahmoodi Sourestani²

1. Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran & 2. Department of Horticultural Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran.

* Corresponding author, E-mail: habibpour_b@scu.ac.ir

Abstract

During recent decades, one of the most important methods of replacing synthetic pesticides is using of new formulations based on plant essential oils (EOs) that can improve their quality and effectiveness. Due to restrictions in application of EOs in pure form, preparation of their commercial formulations is essential. In this research, the contact toxicity of fifteen different plant EOs on 1st instar nymphs of *Phenacoccus solenopsis* was examined at 25±1°C, 65±5% RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 h = L:D. Three EOs including; *Mentha longifolia* (L.), *Mentha piperita* (L.), and *Oliveria decumbens* (Vent.) had the highest contact toxicity and were considered for the next experiments. According to GC and GC/MS analysis, pulegone (51.49%), menthone (22.75%), and 1,8-cineole (11.69%) were the principal components of *M. longifolia*; menthone (36.51%), menthene (28.51%), menthol (8.12%), and 1, 8-cineole (7.66%) were the principal components of *M. piperita* and the main components of *O. decumbens* EO were thymol (43.99%), γ -terpinene (13.96%), and *p*-cymene (12.62%). Moreover, contact toxicity of the EOs were evaluated on 1st instar nymphs of *P. solenopsis* under laboratory conditions, before and after formulation. Based on lethal concentration trials, LC₅₀ values of pure and formulated EOs of *M. longifolia*, *M. piperita*, and *O. decumbens* on 1st instar nymphs were 113.49, 129.74, 149.93, and 48.22, 55.55, 61.68 ppm, respectively; after 48 hours. Therefore, the contact toxicity of formulated EOs of *M. longifolia*, *M. piperita*, and *O. decumbens* were 2.34, 2.36, and 2.43-fold higher than the pure EOs. Based on physicochemical trials, the prepared formulations were stable under the experimental conditions. Therefore, formulation of EOs of examined plants can be considered as new environmentally friend pesticides for controlling of the pests.

Key words: *Phenacoccus solenopsis*; Essential oils; Botanical insecticides; Contact toxicity; GC-MS analysis.

کارایی فرمولاسیون‌های مختلف اسانس‌ها روی شپشک آردآلود پنبه *Phenacoccus solenopsis*

در شرایط آزمایشگاهی (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae)

الهام روزدار^۱، بهزاد حبیب‌پور^{۱*}، محمد سعید مصدق^۱ و محمد محمودی سورستانی^۲

۱- گروه گیاه‌پزشکی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه شهید چمران اهواز، اهواز، ایران و ۲- گروه علوم باغبانی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه شهید چمران اهواز، اهواز، ایران.

* مسئول مکاتبات، پست الکترونیکی: habibpour_b@scu.ac.ir

چکیده

در دهه‌های اخیر، یکی از مهمترین روش‌های جایگزینی آفت‌کش‌های مصنوعی، استفاده از فرمولاسیون‌های جدید بر پایه اسانس‌های گیاهی است، که می‌تواند کیفیت و میزان تاثیر آن‌ها را افزایش دهد. به دلیل محدودیت‌های کاربرد اسانس‌ها به شکل خالص، تهیه فرمولاسیون تجاری آن‌ها ضروری است. در این تحقیق، ابتدا سمیت تماسی ۱۵ اسانس مختلف گیاهی روی پوره‌های سن اول شپشک آردآلود پنبه *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) در دمای ۲۵±۱ درجه سلسیوس، رطوبت نسبی ۶۵±۵ درصد و دوره نوری ۱۶ ساعت روشنایی و ۸ ساعت تاریکی بررسی شد. اسانس‌های نعناع فلفلی (*Mentha piperita* (L.) (Lamiaceae)، پونه (*Mentha longifolia* (L.) (Lamiaceae) و لعل

کوهستان (*Olivaria decumbens* (Vent.) (Umbelliferae)، دارای بیشترین سمیت تماسی بودند و برای آزمایش‌های بعدی در نظر گرفته شدند. بر اساس آنالیز GC و GC/MS، ترکیبات مهم اسانس پونه شامل پولگون ۵۱/۴۹، منتون ۲۲/۷۵ و ۸۱ سینثول ۱۱/۶۹ درصد؛ اسانس نعنای فلفلی شامل منتون ۳۶/۵۱، منتن ۲۸/۵۱، منتول ۸/۱۲ و ۸۱ سینثول ۷/۶۶ درصد و لعل کوهستان شامل تیمول ۴۳/۹۹، گاما ترپینن ۱۳/۹۶ و پی-سیمین ۱۲/۶۲ درصد بودند. پس از تهیه فرمولاسیون، سمیت تماسی اسانس‌ها قبل و بعد از فرموله شدن، روی پوره‌های سن اول شپشک آردآلود پنبه در شرایط آزمایشگاهی مورد بررسی قرار گرفت. بر اساس نتایج آزمایش‌های تعیین غلظت کشنده، مقادیر LC₅₀ سمیت تماسی برای اسانس پونه، نعنای فلفلی و لعل کوهستان فرموله نشده و فرمولاسیون اسانس پونه، نعنای فلفلی و لعل کوهستان روی پوره‌های سن اول شپشک آردآلود پنبه *P. solenopsis* پس از ۴۸ ساعت، به ترتیب ۱۱۳/۴۹، ۱۲۹/۷۴، ۱۴۹/۹۳ و ۴۸/۲۲، ۵۵/۵۵ و ۶۱/۶۸ پی‌پی‌ام محاسبه شد. بنابراین، سمیت تماسی فرمولاسیون اسانس پونه، نعنای فلفلی و لعل کوهستان نسبت به اسانس خالص پونه، نعنای فلفلی و لعل کوهستان به ترتیب ۲/۳۴، ۲/۳۶ و ۲/۴۳ برابر بیشتر بود. بر اساس آزمایش‌های فیزیکوشیمیایی، فرمولاسیون‌های تهیه شده پایداری خوبی از خود در شرایط آزمایشگاهی نشان دادند. بنابراین اسانس‌های فرموله شده این سه گیاه می‌تواند به عنوان حشره‌کش‌های جدید دوست‌دار محیط زیست برای کنترل آفات مورد توجه قرار گیرند.

واژه‌های کلیدی: شپشک آردآلود پنبه، اسانس‌های گیاهی، حشره‌کش‌های گیاهی، سمیت تماسی، آنالیز جی‌سی-مس.

دریافت: ۱۳۹۹/۰۱/۲۰، پذیرش: ۱۳۹۹/۰۶/۱۲.

Introduction

The cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) is an invasive, polyphagous pest of global distribution (Fand *et al.*, 2014). During 2005–2009 the cotton mealybug attacked cotton, *Gossypium hirsutum* (L.) in Pakistan and India, and made severe economic losses (Wang *et al.*, 2010). Seasonal and annual population growth data of *P. solenopsis* from nine locations in its native range in the United States, and distribution of this mealybug worldwide, were analyzed using the CLIMEX model. Findings indicated that tropical regions of worldwide were highly suitable for *P. solenopsis* (Wang *et al.*, 2010). Damage of the cotton mealybug is reported on more than 200 plant species from about 24 countries of tropical and subtropical regions of the world (Fand & Suroshe, 2015). Adults and nymphs weaken the plants by sucking sap from leaves, twigs, stems, and fruiting bodies. Honeydew secreted by the pest encourages the development of black sooty mold, adversely affecting the photosynthetic activity (Joshi *et al.*, 2010). Plants infested by mealybugs during their vegetative phase exhibit symptoms of distorted, bushy shoots, crinkled and twisted bunchy leaves, and stunted plants that desiccate completely in severe cases. Late season infestations during the reproductive crop stage result in reduced plant vigor and early crop senescence (Nagrare *et al.*, 2011). For the first time, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* was reported on *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* L. (Malvales: Malvaceae) from Iran (Moghadam & Bagheri, 2010; Mossadegh *et al.*, 2012b; Mossadegh *et al.*, 2015). *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* is widely planted in parks and green space of Iran. Damage by *P. solenopsis* on *H. rosa-sinensis* results in cutting shrubs and significant damage to urban green space. Efficacy of the insecticides to control of *P. solenopsis* on sweet pepinos, showed that the pest effectively controlled by spraying chlorpyrifos and carbofuran (Larrain, 2002). Important natural

enemies of *P. solenopsis* in Iran are *Aenasius bambawalei* Hayat (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae), *Promuscidea unfasciiventris* Girault (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae), *Hyperaspis polita* Weise (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), *Nephus arcuatus* Kapur (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and *Cryptolaemus montrouzieri* Mulsant (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) are (Mossadegh *et al.*, 2012a; Mossadegh *et al.*, 2013, Mossadegh & Kocheyle, 1992).

Use of pesticides can lead to environmental pollution, affecting human health and causing death of non-target organisms (Biswas *et al.*, 2014). Scientists found that a number of plants possess pesticidal activity. Plant extracts and essential oils (EOs) are eco-friendly and more compatible with environmental components compared with synthetic pesticides (Rahman *et al.*, 2016). In detail, plant secondary metabolites lead to toxicity against insect pests in low concentrations in addition to ovicidal, larvicidal, anti-feedant, and sterilizing properties (Isman, 2006). However, EOs have some drawbacks on their use such as volatility, rapid oxidation, and chemical instability in the presence of light, moisture, and high temperature. To increase efficiency of EOs, the use of formulations of EOs would be the best option (Emamjomeh *et al.*, 2018). *Minthostachys verticillata* (Griseb.) and *Eucalyptus globulus* (Labill.) (Myrtales: Myrtaceae) EOs were evaluated as insecticidal products on *Planococcus ficus* (Signoret) under laboratory conditions. The results revealed that *M. verticillata* (LC₅₀ 39.60 $\mu\text{L}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) was more toxic than *E. globulus* (LC₅₀ 63.97 $\mu\text{L}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) (Peschiutta *et al.*, 2017). Based on Prishanthini & Vinobaba (2014), laboratory studies were carried out to evaluate the efficacy of botanical extracts from *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss. (Rutales: Meliaceae), *Ocimum sanctum* L. (Lamiales: Lamiaceae), *Calotropis gigantea* L. (Gentianales: Apocynaceae), *Nicotina tabacum* L. (Solanales: Solanaceae) and *Alium sativum* L. (Asparagales: Amaryllidaceae) against *P. solenopsis* on *H. rosa-sinensis*. Among the treated botanicals, *O. sanctum* was effective significantly ($p < 0.05$) at lower concentrations and has the 0.6% concentration as LC₅₀. Repelling effects of *Prunus persica* L. (Rosales: Rosaceae), *E. globulus*, *Polyalthia longifolia* (Magnoliids: Annonaceae), *Silybum marianum* (Asterales: Asteraceae), and *Sonchus oleraceus* (Asterales: Asteraceae) extracts each in petroleum ether, acetone and ethanol were evaluated at the concentration of 1000, 500 and 250 ppm against *P. solenopsis*. The ethanol extract was the most effective against cotton mealy bug by having highest repellency 72.5% at 500 ppm. The lowest average repellency was 26.3 % observed in acetone extract of at 500 ppm dose (Roonjho *et al.*, 2013). Recently, there has been an increasing interest in studying and evaluating the botanical insecticides (e.g. EOs) for pest management in both developing and developed countries as a result of insect resistant to the traditional insecticides (Mossa, 2016). Present study attempts to evaluate the efficacy of EO formulations from *Mentha longifolia* L. (Lamiaceae) (Wild mint), *Mentha piperita* L. (Lamiaceae) (Peppermint) and *Oliveria decumbens* Vent. (Umbelliferae) (Denak) against the 1st instar nymphs of *P. solenopsis* under laboratory conditions.

Materials and Methods

Collection of the plants and preparation of EOs

A preliminary trial was conducted to select *M. longifolia*, *M. piperita*, and *O. decumbens* plant for EO extraction among of the fifteen examined plants (Table 1). The results showed that three plants *M. longifolia*, *M. piperita*, and *O. decumbens* were the most effective with LC₅₀ 113.49, 129.74, 149.93 ppm, respectively (Table 6). Then leaves of *M. longifolia* and *M. piperita* and aerial parts of *O. decumbens* were dried at temperatures up to 40°C. Their EOs were extracted via steam distillation using a clevenger apparatus. Distillation took about 3h to obtain the EOs. Finally, the EOs were dehydrated by sodium sulphate and kept at 4°C for less than a month before onset of bioassays (Mahmoodi Sourestani, 2016).

Table 1. Characteristics of collected plants for essential oils extraction

| Scientific name | Family | Used part of plant | Collection place |
|--|--------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Mentha piperita</i> L. | Lamiaceae | Leaves | Cultivated (Dezful) |
| <i>Teucrium polium</i> Boiss. | Lamiaceae | Leaves | Wild (Ilam) |
| <i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i> L. | Lamiaceae | Leaves | Cultivated (Ahvaz) |
| <i>Nepeta cataria</i> L. | Lamiaceae | Leaves | Cultivated (Ahvaz) |
| <i>Mentha longifolia</i> L. | Lamiaceae | Leaves | Cultivated (Ahvaz) |
| <i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L. | Lamiaceae | Leaves | Wild (Ilam) |
| <i>Mentha spicata</i> L. | Lamiaceae | Leaves | Cultivated (Ahvaz) |
| <i>Dracocephalum moldavica</i> L. | Lamiaceae | Leaves | Cultivated (Dezful) |
| <i>Satureja khuzistanica</i> Jamzad. | Lamiaceae | Leaves | Cultivated (Ahvaz) |
| <i>Origanum vulgare</i> L. | Lamiaceae | Leaves | Wild (Ilam) |
| <i>Myrtus communis</i> L. | Myrtaceae | Leaves | Wild (Ilam) |
| <i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i> Dehnh. | Myrtaceae | Leaves | Cultivated (Fars) |
| <i>Callistemon viminalis</i> Gaertn. | Myrtaceae | Leaves | Cultivated (Dezful) |
| <i>Prangos ferulacea</i> L. | Apiaceae | Leaves | Cultivated (Ahvaz) |
| <i>Oliveria decumbens</i> Vent. | Umbelliferae | Aerial parts | Cultivated (Dezful) |

Gas Chromatography (GC) and Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometer (GC/MS)

Analysis of EOs was performed using a Gas Chromatography and Gas Chromatography interfaced to Mass Spectroscopy. Applied GC was Varian 3800 and column was CP-Sil8-CB (30 m. length, 0.32 mm. internal diameter, 0.25 µm. film thickness). For *O. decumbens* EO temperature was programmed to increase from 60°C to 260°C at a rate of 5°C/min and then held isothermally for 2 min, injector and detector temperatures were set at 265°C and 275°C, respectively. Also, for Eos of *M. longifolia* and *M. piperita*, temperature increased from 40°C to 300°C at a rate of 5°C/min and then held isothermally for one min, injector and detector temperatures were set at 280°C and 300°C, respectively. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.5 ml/min. For GC interfaced to MS using an Agilent 5975 was equipped with an HP-5ms capillary column (30m length, 0.25mm internal diameter, 0.25µm. film thickness). Injector and detector temperature and column temperature and carrier gas was similar to GC. The MS transfer line temperature maintained at 280°C, whereas the ion source

temperature was 180°C. Scan time 1s and ionization energy 70 eV (Central Laboratory of Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Iran).

Insect rearing

To establish a laboratory colony of *P. solenopsis*, the adult females were collected from Chinese hibiscus shrubs from campus of Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz and were transferred to the laboratory. The insects were fed on potato *Solanum tuberosum* (L.) buds at $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$, $65 \pm 5\%$ RH and 16L: 8D of photoperiod to get stock population. Potatoes were replaced every three weeks and insects reared at the F₁₀ generation.

Formulation of EOs

Materials used in preparation of oil-in-water formulation were emulsifier agent (polyaryphenyl ether sulphate; 4-6%) (soprophor[®] 4D384), binding agent (polyvinyl pyrrolidone; 3-6%) (PVP-K30), methanol 70%, active ingredient EOs (5-10%) and sesame oil (1-3%). Therefore, six formulations were prepared by reducing and adding different materials during formulation as follows; For each formulation, at first the binding agent was dissolved in 50 ml of methanol 70% by a laboratory digital stirrer at 500-1000 rpm (Microstar 15 digital, IKA, Germany). Then emulsifier, EOs and sesame oil were added to the solution. Finally, the solution was reached to volume of 100% with methanol 70%. Experimental concentrations were prepared by diluting formulations with distilled water (Table 2) (Riazi *et al.*, 2015; Ardakani & Heydari Alizadeh, 2017).

Table 2. Materials and their quantities used for preparation of examined formulations

| Essential Oils | Formulations | Compounds (%) | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| | | Methanol 70% | Emulsifier | Binding agent | Essential oil | Sesame oil |
| <i>Mentha longifolia</i> | F1 | 85 | 5.5 | 3 | 5 | 1.5 |
| | F2 | 83 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 5 | 2 |
| <i>Mentha piperita</i> | F3 | 79 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 3 |
| | F4 | 77 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 1 |
| <i>Oliveria decumbens</i> | F5 | 78 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 10 | 3 |
| | F6 | 77 | 5 | 5.5 | 10 | 2.5 |

F1, F2, ... & F6 are indices for examined formulations.

Physicochemical tests of EOs formulations

Stability of EOs formulations was studied for physicochemical properties according to Poucher (1993) with some modifications. Formulations were tested with different stress factors such as cooling and heating trial, centrifugal trial, freeze and thaw trial, creaming and coalescence trial and pH changing. Formulations were centrifuged at 2,000 rpm (FX-P4, FENIX, India). After waiting 5, 15, 30 and 60 min from the time of centrifugation, the stability of the formulations was evaluated. Creaming and coalescence are signs of instability of formulations. So, it necessary to study the formulations in these states. Samples were stored for 48h at 45-50°C, then stored at 4°C for 48h (heating and cooling trial). Also, samples were stored for 48h at -8 °C, then were stored at 25°C for 48h (freeze and thaw trial). The cycles were repeated 6 times for each test. After the end of 6 periods, the quality of

formulations with respect to appearance was evaluated. The pH value was measured by a pH meter (DA600, Hana, Japan) from time preparing them to 1-week later (FAO, 2006).

Laboratory bioassays

Preliminary experiment for screening EOs

Bioassays were conducted under laboratory conditions in Petri dishes (diameter = 8 cm) that had lids with openings (diameter = 3 cm) covered with fine muslin. Four concentrations were tested for each EO and formulated EOs. At first a preliminary experiment was conducted to assess the insecticidal activity of fifteen plants EOs. The concentrations for *M. longifolia*, *M. piperita* and *O. decumbens* were 110, 150, 200, 300, *N. cataria* and *M. spicata* were 130, 190, 280, 400, *D. moldavica*, *R. officinalis* and *O. vulgare* 260, 330, 400, 500, *E. camaldulensis*, *S. khuzistanica*, *P. ferulacea* and *M. communis* 150, 220, 330, 500, and for *T. polium*, *O. basilicum* and *C. viminalis* EOs, the concentrations were 350, 390, 440 and 500 ppm. Methanol was used as a solvent to prepare EOs solutions. Leaves of Chinese hibiscus of approximately the same size were dipped in desired concentrations for 15s and air-dried for 30 min. Control leaves were dipped only in methanol (70%). Control and treated leaves were placed on a layer of agar in the Petri dishes, then ten *P. solenopsis* 1st instar nymphs (same size class life stage) were released at the center of leaf discs in the Petri dishes. Petri dishes were kept inside the incubator with the above mentioned conditions at 25±1°C and 65±5% RH, with a 16:8-h L:D photoperiod. (Kaveh *et al.*, 2014). Each concentration and control replications were tested three times (Amirmohammadi & Jalali Sendi, 2013; Sohrabi & Kohanmoo, 2016; Mostafa *et al.*, 2018). After 48h, the number of the dead 1st instar nymphs in control and treatment was recorded using a stereomicroscope (B-810BF, OPTIK, Italy). The mortality percentages was calculated based on control mortality accounted for using Abbott's formula (Abbott, 1925).

Contact toxicity of three plant EOs and their emulsion formulations

According to the previous experiment, EOs of *M. longifolia*, *M. piperita* and *O. decumbens* exhibited a high degree of efficiency against *P. solenopsis* 1st instar nymphs. So, the concentrations 110, 150, 200, 300 ppm for pure EOs were prepared. Also, due to additives in EOs and their more effectiveness, lower concentrations (60, 80, 110, 150 ppm) than pure EOs were prepared for formulated EOs. The conditions of the experiment was the same as above and the mortality was counted 48h after exposure of 1st instar nymphs to the treated leaves.

Data analysis

The experiments were conducted in a completely randomized design. Mortality data obtained from each dose-response trial were subjected to probit analysis and LC₅₀, LC₉₀ and LC₉₅ values and 95% confidence intervals were estimated. LC₅₀, LC₉₀ and LC₉₅ values were compared using respective confidence intervals (Finney, 1971). Also, comparison of these values was done by calculating the relative toxicity parameter, Relative Median Potency

(RMP) (Robertson & Preisler, 1992). Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS ver. 22 (SPSS, 2019), and ANOVA were performed, and the means were compared using Tukey's test at 5% level.

Results

Chemical composition of plant EOs

The qualitative and quantitative compounds of plant EOs are shown in (Table 3). Focusing on the most abundant components of the EOs, *M. longifolia* consisted primarily of pulegone (51.49%), menthone (22.75%), and 1,8-cineole (11.69%). *M. piperita* EO contained menthone (36.51%), menthene (28.51%), menthol (8.12%), and 1, 8-mineole (7.66%). The main components of *O. decumbens* EO were thymol (43.99%), γ -terpinene (13.96%), and *p*-cymene (12.62%) (Table 3).

Physicochemical tests

For each EO, two formulations were prepared based on different amounts of used materials. All formulations except No. 4 were stable after the physicochemical studies. So, between the 5 stable formulations, No. 1, 3 and 5 due to their more suitable organoleptic properties were selected for the bioassay studies (Tables 4, 5).

By using cycles between -8°C and 25°C , it was possible to establish freeze-thaw stability. Also, it was found that $+4^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $45-50^{\circ}\text{C}$ cycles proved sufficient to effectively evaluate formulations stability. In creaming and coalescence trial, formulations were able to maintain their quality well during 8 weeks storage at 25°C . Also, maintain their stability in centrifugal trial. Formulations pH ranged from 6.4-6.8. Formulation No. 1, 48h after its preparation had more stability ($df = 2, 8; F=8.61; P=0.017$).

Laboratory bioassays

In contact toxicity trials, LC_{50} values of pure EOs of *M. longifolia*, *M. piperita*, and *O. decumbens* on 1st instar nymphs after 48h, were 113.49, 129.74, and 149.93 ppm, and for formulated EOs of *M. longifolia*, *M. piperita*, and *O. decumbens*, LC_{50} values were 48.22, 55.55, and 61.68 ppm, respectively (Table 6, 7).

Table 3. Chemical composition (%) of essential oils derived from *Mentha longifolia*, *Mentha piperita*, and *Oliveria decumbens* by GC-MS.

| Components | Percentage Composition | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| | <i>Mentha longifolia</i> | <i>Mentha piperita</i> | <i>Oliveria decumbens</i> |
| α -Pinene | 1.19 | 0.66 | 0.28 |
| Sabinene | 0.20 | 0.45 | 0.08 |
| β -Pinene | 3.04 | 1.04 | 2.26 |
| β -Myrcene | 0.86 | 0.28 | |
| Limonene | 0.52 | | 1.54 |
| 1, 8-Cineole | 11.69 | 7.66 | |
| α -Terpinolene | 0.24 | 1.41 | |
| Menthone | 22.75 | 36.51 | |
| Isomenthone | 3.40 | | |
| Isopulegone | 1.28 | | |
| Pulegone | 51.49 | 1.03 | |
| Piperitone | 0.12 | 0.11 | |
| Bornyl acetate | 0.13 | | |
| Piperitenone | 0.91 | | 0.04 |
| trans-Caryophyllene | 1.03 | 3.77 | |
| α -Humulene | 0.12 | | |
| α -Cubebene | 0.30 | | |
| γ -Cadinene | 0.10 | 0.10 | |
| Azulene | | 0.66 | |
| <i>p</i> -Cymene | | 0.45 | 12.62 |
| Ocimene | | 0.28 | |
| Menthol | | 8.12 | |
| Menthene | | 28.51 | |
| Carvone | | 0.84 | |
| Carene | | 2.08 | |
| Eugenol | | 0.24 | |
| β -Cubebene | | 0.14 | |
| Germacerene D | | 0.50 | |
| Cadina-4,9-diene | | 3.71 | |
| α -Cadinene | | 0.80 | |
| Methyl chavicol | | 0.51 | |
| alpha-Thujene | | | 0.48 |
| Myrcene | | | 0.76 |
| δ -3-Carene | | | 0.07 |
| Terpinene - α | | | 0.18 |
| Terpinene - γ | | | 13.96 |
| Sabinene hydrate -cis | | | 0.04 |
| Terpinolene | | | 0.08 |
| Linalool | | | 0.07 |
| Terpinen-4-ol | | | 1.03 |
| Terpineol - α | | | 0.1 |
| Thymol | | | 43.99 |
| Carvacrol | | | 0.48 |
| Selinene - β | | | 0.05 |
| Selinene - α | | | 0.04 |
| Spathulenol | | | 0.08 |
| Elemicin | | | 0.22 |
| Myristicin | | | 4.3 |
| Total | 99.37 | 99.86 | 82.75 |

Table 4. Comparison of means (\pm SE) related to pH formulations at different times

| Time | Formulations | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 |
| Time preparing | 0.1 ^a (A) \pm 6.4 | 0.1 ^a (A) \pm 6.5 | 0.1 ^a (A) \pm 6.6 | 0.2 ^a (A) \pm 6.5 | 0.2 ^a (A) \pm 6.5 | 0.1 ^a (A) \pm 6.7 |
| 48h later | 0.1 ^b (A) \pm 6.8 | 0.2 ^a (A) \pm 6.4 | 0.3 ^a (A) \pm 6.5 | 0.2 ^a (A) \pm 6.5 | 0.1 ^a (A) \pm 6.7 | 0.1 ^a (A) \pm 6.7 |
| 1-Week later | 0.1 ^{ab} (A) \pm 6.5 | 0.3 ^a (A) \pm 6.4 | 0.2 ^a (A) \pm 6.4 | 0.1 ^a (A) \pm 6.4 | 0.2 ^a (A) \pm 6.6 | 0.2 ^a (A) \pm 6.6 |

* Means within each column and row followed by the same letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

Table 5. Results of physicochemical trials of examined formulations

| Trials | Formulations | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|
| | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 |
| Creaming and Coalescence | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Centrifugal | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Freeze and Thaw | + | + | + | - | + | + |
| Cooling and Heating | + | + | + | - | + | + |

Signs are expressed as results of stability trial.

Stability formulation: (+)

Instability of formulation: (-)

Table 6. LC₅₀, LC₉₀ and LC₉₅ values for contact toxicity of the fifteen pure essential oils on first instar nymphs of *Phenacoccus solenopsis*.

| Essential Oils | n | X ² (df=10) | Slope ± SE | LC ₅₀ (ppm) | LC ₉₀ (ppm) | LC ₉₅ (ppm) |
|---------------------------------|-----|---------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <i>Mentha piperita</i> | 120 | 1.38 | 0.77±2.55 | 129.74 (89.99-155.01) | 411.40 (283.76- 1578.93) | 570.70 (352.17- 3512.72) |
| <i>Teucrium polium</i> | 120 | 2.11 | 2.25±8.62 | 363.75 (315.74-385.74) | 511.40 (465.17- 662.05) | 563.50 (498.35- 803.87) |
| <i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i> | 120 | 1.84 | 1.19±3.87 | 282.10 (213.07-320.96) | 604.40 (478.33- 1424.30) | 750.00 (551.69- 2435.94) |
| <i>Nepeta cataria</i> | 120 | 2.24 | 0.69±2.99 | 193.05 (158.90-225.06) | 518.40 (380.96- 1097.71) | 685.30 (466.12- 1812.10) |
| <i>Mentha longifolia</i> | 120 | 0.71 | 0.85±3.19 | 113.49 (80.48-134.92) | 285.30 (223.60- 553.56) | 370.80 (269.72- 942.82) |
| <i>Ocimum basilicum</i> | 120 | 1.87 | 2.26±8.40 | 357.84 (304.93-380.69) | 507.40 (461.23- 663.63) | 560.50 (494.88- 815.51) |
| <i>Mentha spicata</i> | 120 | 1.20 | 0.69±2.76 | 163.04 (121.16-194.08) | 474.00 (347.72-1077.01) | 641.50 (431.28- 1935.92) |
| <i>Dracocephalum moldavica</i> | 120 | 1.57 | 1.20±4.78 | 308.39 (254.88-349.24) | 571.30 (474.20- 938.80) | 680.50 (536.71- 1316.74) |
| <i>Satureja khuzistanica</i> | 120 | 2.35 | 0.66±2.84 | 205.25 (151.64-242.18) | 580.40 (427.77- 1211.13) | 778.90 (529.87- 2055.19) |
| <i>Origanum vulgare</i> | 120 | 1.08 | 1.18±3.89 | 292.93 (230.00-339.98) | 612.90 (486.02- 1365.25) | 756.10 (559.18- 2242.06) |
| <i>Myrtus communis</i> | 120 | 1.11 | 0.64±2.66 | 211.07 (154.59-261.47) | 640.90 (456.41- 1532.30) | 877.30 (571.10- 2759.70) |
| <i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i> | 120 | 2.26 | 0.64±2.59 | 215.03 (156.04-266.23) | 671.40 (469.96- 1729.28) | 927.10 (590.43- 3207.47) |
| <i>Callistemon viminalis</i> | 120 | 2.53 | 2.16±7.65 | 371.90 (331.63-395.94) | 546.60 (485.52- 795.18) | 609.70 (522.99- 1012.02) |
| <i>Prangos ferulacea</i> | 120 | 2.20 | 0.66±2.85 | 205.74 (151.26-242.36) | 578.50 (426.68- 1201.44) | 775.70 (528.34- 2032.95) |
| <i>Oliveria decumbens</i> | 120 | 0.02 | 1.80±0.73 | 149.93 (62.35-206.45) | 771.42 (385.42-3026.54) | 1228.37 (503.14-3069.03) |

n: number of tested insects

Table 7. LC₅₀, LC₉₀ and LC₉₅ values of contact toxicity of formulated essential oils of *Mentha longifolia*, *Mentha piperita*, and *Oliveria decumbens* on 1st instar nymphs of *Phenacoccus solenopsis* after 48 h.

| Essential Oils | X ² (df) | Slope ± SE | LC ₅₀ (ppm) 95% Confidence interval | LC ₉₀ (ppm) 95% Confidence interval | LC ₉₅ (ppm) 95% Confidence interval |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|---|---|---|
| <i>Mentha longifolia</i> | 2.05(10) | 2.82±0.93 | 48.22 (22.83-62.09) | 136.98 (109.91-252.28) | 184.15 (135.91-473.98) |
| <i>Mentha piperita</i> | 1.44(10) | 2.70±0.87 | 55.55 (30.71-69.20) | 165.68 (127.41-355.97) | 225.84 (158.09-683.19) |
| <i>Oliveria decumbens</i> | 0.59(10) | 2.35±0.83 | 61.68 (33.51-76.68) | 216.39 (151.60-746.94) | 308.85 (191.85-1726.21) |

The LC₅₀ values did not reveal any significant differences among pure EOs of tested plants. Based on (RMP) calculations, LC₅₀ values for pure EOs were significantly greater

than the LC₅₀ of formulated EOs (Table 8). Therefore, toxicity of formulated EOs of *M. longifolia*, *M. piperita*, and *O. decumbens* were 2.34, 2.36 and 2.43-fold higher than the pure EOs (Table 8).

Table 8. Comparison relative contact toxicity of formulated essential oils and pure essential oils of *Mentha longifolia*, *Mentha piperita*, and *Oliveria decumbens* on 1st instar nymphs of *Phenacoccus solenopsis*.

| Essential Oils | RMP | 95% Confidence interval |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| | LC ₅₀ (pure): LC ₅₀ (formulated) | |
| <i>Mentha longifolia</i> | 2.34 | 1.39-3.92* |
| <i>Mentha piperita</i> | 2.36 | 1.49-3.74* |
| <i>Oliveria decumbens</i> | 2.43 | 1.49-3.96* |

RMP: Relative Median Potency, *: Shows significant difference between the LC₅₀ values compared at 5% probability level.

Discussion

Overall EOs of *M. longifolia*, *M. piperita*, and *O. decumbens* were equally toxic against the 1st instar nymphs of *P. solenopsis*. Insecticidal properties of different *Mentha* species EO have been reported on various insect pests. Fumigant and repellent toxicities of *Ricinus communis* (L.) and *Mentha pulegium* (L.) EOs were assessed toward two major stored product beetles: *Lasioderma serricorne* (F.) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). The effectiveness of *M. pulegium* EO against the coleopteran insects showed potential fumigant impact particularly against *L. serricorne* with LC₅₀ = 8.46 µL/L air. Moreover, significant pest repellent activity was demonstrated with *R. communis* and *M. pulegium* where the repellency effects reached 80 and 60% after 1 and 24h of exposure against *T. castaneum* at doses of 0.31 µL/cm² and 0.078 µL/cm², respectively (Salem *et al.*, 2017). Based on Saeidi & Moharrampour (2013), *M. longifolia* proved to be fumigant toxicity less than *Artemisia khorassanica* (Podl.) and *R. officinalis* on *Tribolium confusum* (Duval). In contrast to their low fumigant properties, the EO of *M. longifolia* had significantly higher repellency to *T. confusum* adults than the other two. Efficacy of *M. piperita* EO, with four different solvents namely: acetone, ethanol, n-hexane and chloroform, was screened against the green peach aphid *Myzus persicae* Sulzer (Homoptera: Aphididae). As the result shown, *M. piperita* EO with chloroform and ethanol was the most effective against 1st and 2nd nymph of *M. persicae* with the LC₅₀ of 0.004 (v/v) and LC₉₀ of 0.090 and 0.070 (v/v) (Al – Antary *et al.*, 2017). Also, the most efficient EOs were obtained from *M. pulegium* and *Thymus mastichina* (L.), with LC_{50 (90)} estimated as 3.1(3.8) and 3.6 (4.6) mg/L air, respectively against *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Perg.) (Stepanycheva *et al.*, 2019). Based on Mostafa *et al.* (2018), ten EOs of seven different families including *Thymus vulgaris* L. (Lamiaceae), *Artemisia absinthium* L. (Asteraceae), *Pluchea dioscoridis* L. (Asteraceae), *Cyperus articulatus* L. (Cyperaceae), *M. longifolia*, *Anethum graveolens* L. (Apiaceae) and *Lantana camara* L. (Verbenaceae) were extracted and examined for their insecticidal activity against adult females of *P. solenopsis*.

Results showed that *T. vulgaris*, *M. longifolia* L. and *C. articulata*s exhibited a high degree of efficiency as insecticide with the LC₅₀ values 29.03, 34.32 and 54.69 ppm, respectively after 24h, while, after 72h of treatments were 15.04, 24.93 and 29.21 ppm, respectively. Also, in the present study, *Mentha* species (*M. longifolia* with LC₅₀ =113.49 ppm, *M. piperita* with LC₅₀ =129.74 ppm, *Mentha spicata* with LC₅₀ =163.04 ppm) had a significant insecticidal effect. The EOs of *M. piperita*, *Satureja thymbra* (L.), *Lavandula angustifolia* (Mill.), and *O. basilicum* were tested for their insecticidal activity against *P. ficus*. According to the results, the main components of *M. piperita* EO consisted of menthol (34.6%), menthone (14.6%), α -pinene (0.7%), and menthyl acetate (12.4%) (Karamaouna *et al.*, 2013). Based on Bolandnazar *et al.* (2017), mentone (22.55%), menthol (34.81%) and menthyl acetate (10.64%) were the principal components of *M. piperita*. They studied the effects of some micro and nanoemulsified EOs (*R. officinalis*, *M. piperita* and *E. globulus*) on *Bemisia tabaci* Genn. (Hem.: Aleyrodidae) under laboratory condition and found that nano-emulsion treatment containing all tested EOs was the most toxic for controlling population of the whitefly. Comparison among compounds of the EOs in both studies, with our study showed that menthene and 1, 8-mineole did not exist in EO of *M. piperita*. While in our investigation menthone (36.51%) was one of the major components of EO along with menthone (36.51%), menthene (28.51%), menthol (8.12%) and 1, 8-mineole (7.66%).

The insecticide activity of the EO of *M. longifolia*, consisting mainly 1-8-cineole (25.46%), menthone (17.85%), pulegone (29.93%) was found to be effective against *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motschulsky) (Odeyemi *et al.*, 2008). In another study, Azarkish *et al.* (2016), investigated of the compositions of EO of *M. longifolia*, a rich source of polygon in five habitats of Fars province. The results showed high amount of pulegone in EO. Also, in our study, polygon (51.49%) had the highest percentage of composition for EO of *M. longifolia*. The results obtained from *O. decumbens* EO analysis showed that the EO contained thymol (43.99%), γ -terpinene (13.96%), and *p*-cymene (12.62%). Another investigation which has been done on this plant from Iran showed that thymol was one of the major components of the EO (Najafpour Navai & Mirza, 2002; Amin *et al.*, 2005; Mahboubi *et al.*, 2008; sajjadi & Hoseini, 2011). But, in a study performed by Hajimehdipoor *et al.*, (2010), γ -terpinene (23.33) and *p*-cymene (19.40) were higher than other components of *O. decumbens* essential oil.

The differences in detailed findings may be attributed to the place where plants cultivated, harvesting time, drying temperature, drying period and etc. (Mahmoodi Sourestani, 2016). However, the chemical composition of plants is known to be influenced by several external factors including climate, as some compounds may be accumulated at a particular period to respond to environmental changes (McKay & Blumberg, 2006). Also, the timing of harvest and the number of harvests during the year are factors that greatly influence herbage and EO yields, EO content, and composition of plants (Hussain *et al.*,

2010). There is no information about preparing formulation from *M. longifolia*, *M. piperita* and *O. decumbens* EOs and their effects on *P. solenopsis* until now. There are some data about different formulations EOs including *M. longifolia* and *M. piperita* on various pests.

In a research by Louni *et al.* (2018), the contact toxicity of *M. longifolia* EO compared with its nanoemulsion on *Ephestia kuehniella* (Zeller.) was investigated. Their results showed that the nanoemulsion formulation increased the effect of EO contact toxicity and its durability. Laing *et al.* (2012) increased stability and bioavailability of *M. piperita* oil starch based on the nanoemulsion.

In this study, samples were stable after the physicochemical studies. Oil-in-water emulsions are, however, inherently unstable and all emulsions will eventually degrade and separate. The evaluation of emulsion stability is consequently of significant importance. The known mechanisms for emulsion degradation are separation (creaming) and coalescence. Historically, stability testing has been done by centrifugation and isothermal storage at elevated temperatures. Centrifugation can be considered effective at predicting creaming instability, but does not evaluate coalescence effectively. Isothermal testing at 25°C is very commonly used to speed evaluation of coalescence. This test is inherently slow, often requiring 8 weeks or more. It was found that four or less +3 °C to 50 °C cycles lasting 2-3 days proved sufficient to effectively evaluate emulsion stability. Formulations pH ranged from 6.4 - 6.8 indicating good stability of the formulations because many changes in the formulations can be a sign of the activity of fungal or microbial agents that causes formulations to degrade (Poucher, 1993).

The present study provides a first screening on the insecticidal activity of pure EOs on 1st instar nymphs of *P. solenopsis*. In contrast, some problems (e.g. volatility, solubility and oxidation) of EO-based insecticides were recorded which plays an important role in the EOs activity, application and persistent. For this reason, new formulations can resolve these problems and offer numerous advantages. In this paper, formulations were prepared by selecting and testing different materials, and investigating their chemical effects. The EOs were incorporated in absorption bases and after preliminary studies 6 formulations were prepared.

Based on the results, formulated EOs had a stronger insecticidal effect when compared with pure EOs. According to the results, production of formulation with this new technique results in considerable decrease of the required EO concentrations. The additives in the EOs formulation may act as synergist and lead to increase the toxicity of EOs. Also, EOs showed a significant relative percentage of monoterpenes. This suggests that their presence may be responsible for the highly insecticidal properties against *P. solenopsis* 1st instar nymphs.

This paper suggests plants that are rich sources of secondary metabolites with pesticidal properties which can be a suitable alternative to chemicals and can be used in *P. solenopsis* management program. Also, extensive field experimentations are needed to determine the

insecticidal efficiency of formulated EOs against 1st instar nymphs of *P. solenopsis* and the toxic effect on its natural enemies under normal cropping conditions.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to the Research Council of Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz for financial support (GNSCU.AP98.323).

References

- Abbott, W. S.** (1925) A method of comparing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 18, 265–267.
- Al – Antary, T. M., Belghasem, I. H. & Alaraj, S. E. A.** (2017) Effect of Mint Oil Against the Green Peach Aphid *Myzus persicae* Sulzer (Homoptera: Aphididae) Using Four Solvents. *Advances in Environmental Biology* 11(1), 61-67.
- Amin, G. H., Salehi sourmaghi, M. H., Zahedi, M., Khanavi, M. & Samadi, N.** (2005) Essential oil composition and antimicrobial activity of *Oliveria decumbens*. *Fitoterapia*; 76 (7-8), 704-707.
- Amirmohammadi, F. & Jalali Sendi, J.** (2013) The effect of essential oil of *Rosmarinus officinalis* (Lamiaceae) on mortality and physiological parameters of *Xanthogaleruca luteola* Mull. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Plant Pests Research* 3(3), 60-68. (In Persian with English summary)
- Ardakani, A. S. & Heydari Alizadeh.** (2017) Preparation of nematicides formulation from *Achillea wilhelmsii* for controlling root knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne incognita*). *Journal of Plant Pests and Diseases* 85 (2), 130-137. (In Persian with English summary)
- Azarkish, P., Mogaddam, M., Vaezi, J., Ghasemi pir baloti, A. & davoodi nezhad, Gh. H.** (2016) Investigation of the compositions of essential oil of *Mentha longifolia* L. a rich source of polygon in five habitats of Fars province. *Plant Production (Scientific Journal of Agriculture)* 39 (4), 88-99. (In Persian with English summary)
- Biswas, S. K., Rahman, S., Kobir, S. M. A., Ferdous, T. & Banu, N. A.** (2014) A Review on Impact of Agrochemicals on Human Health and Environment: Bangladesh Perspective. *Plant Environment Development* 3, 31-35.
- Bolandnazar, A., Ghadamyari, M., Memarzadeh, M. & Jalali sandi, J.** (2017) Effect of some micro and nanoemulsified essential oils and plant extract on sweet potato whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius), under laboratory condition. *Plant Pest Research* 7, 23-37 (In Persian with English summary).
- Emamjomeh, L., Imani, S., Talebi, KH., Moharramipour, S. & Larijani, K.** (2018) Preparation of nanoemulsion formulation of essential oil of *Zataria multiflora* and

- comparison of contact toxicity with pure essential oil on *Ephestia kuehniella*. *Journal of Pests and Plant Diseases* 58, 182-190 (In Persian with English summary).
- Fand, B. B., Tonnang, H. E. Z., Kumar, M., Bal, S. K., Singh, N. P., Rao, D. V. K. N., Kamble, A. L., Nangare, D. D. & Minhas, P. S.** (2014) Predicting the impact of climate change on regional and seasonal abundance of the *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) using temperature-driven phenology model linked to GIS. *Ecological Modelling* 288, 62-78.
- Fand, B. B. & Suroshe, S. S.** (2015) The invasive mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley), a threat to tropical and subtropical agricultural and horticultural production systems- A review. *Journal Crop Protection* 69, 34-43.
- FAO** (2006) Guidelines on efficacy evaluation for the registration of plant protection products. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- Finney, D. J.** (1971) Probit Analysis, a Statistical Treatment of the Sigmoid Response Curve. 7th Edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.
- Hajimehdipoor, H., Samadi, N., Mozaffarian, V., Rahimifard, N., Shoeibi, Sh., Pirali Hamedani, M.** (2010) Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of *Oliveria decumbens* volatile oil from West of Iran. *Journal of Medicinal Plants* 9 (6). (In Persian with English summary).
- Hussain, A., Anwar, F., Nigam, P. S., Ashraf, M. & Gilani, A. H.** (2010) Seasonal variation in content, chemical composition and antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of essential oils from four *Mentha* species, *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 90, 1827–1836.
- Isman, M. B.** (2006) Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world. *Annual Review of Entomology* 51, 45–66.
- Joshi, M. D., Butani, P. G., Patel, V. N. & Jeya Kumar, P.** (2010) Cotton mealybug *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley)-A review. *Agricultural Reviews* 31, 113-119.
- Karamaouna, F., Kimbaris, A., Michaelakis, A., Papachristos, D., Polissiou, M., Papatsakona, P. & Tsora, E.** (2013) Insecticidal activity of plant essential oils against the vine mealybug, *Planococcus ficus*. *Journal of Insect Science* 13, 1-13.
- Kaveh, M., Poorjavad, N. & Khajehali, J.** (2014) Evaluation of contact toxicity of ten essential oils from Lamiaceae plants against *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Plant Pests Research*, 4 (3), 39-49. (in Farsi with English summary) .
- Laing, R., Xu, S., Shoemaker, C. F., Li, Y., Zhong, F. & Huang, Q.** (2012) Physical and antimicrobial properties of peppermint oil nanoemulsions. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 60, 7548-7555.
- Larrain, S. P.** (2002) Insect and mite pest incidence on sweet pepinos *Solanum muricatum* (Ait.) cultivated in the IV Region, Chile. *Agricultura Tecnica* 62, 15-26.
-

- Louni, M., Shakarami, J. & Negahban, M.** (2018) Insecticidal efficacy of nanoemulsion containing *Mentha longifolia* essential oil against *Ephestia kuehniella* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Journal of Crop Protection* 7(2), 171-182.
- Mahboubi, M., Feizabadi, M. M., Haghi, G. & Hosseini, H.** (2008) Antimicrobial activity and chemical composition of essential oil from *Oliveria decumbens* Vent. *Iranian Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants* 24 (1), 57-65. (In Persian with English summary).
- Mahmoodi Sourestani, M.** (2016) Effect of harvest time on essential oil content and composition of *Mentha piperita* (L), pp. 37-39. In: *Proceedings of 1th Essential Oil Congress*, University of Kashan, Qamsar, Iran.
- McKay, D. L. & Blumberg, J. B.** (2006) A review of the bioactivity and potential health benefits of peppermint tea (*Mentha piperita* L.). *Phytotherapy Research* 20, 619–633.
- Moghadam, M. & Bagheri, M.** (2010) A new mealybug pest in the south of Iran, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). *Journal of Entomological Society of Iran* 30, 67-69.
- Mossadegh, M. S. & Kocheylii, F.** (1992) Part of the fauna of ladybirds Khuzestan. *Agricultural Scientific Journal* 16, 30-24. (In Persian with English summary)
- Mossadegh, M. S., Vafaei, Sh., Zarghami, S., Kocheylii, F., Farsi, A., Alizadeh, M. S. & Rezaii, N.** (2012a) Natural enemies of *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) (Sternorrhyncha: Coccoidea: Pseudococcidae) in Khuzestan, Iran, pp. 216. In: *Proceedings of the 20th Iranian Plant Protection Congress*. University of Tehran, Karaj.
- Mossadegh, M. S., Vafaei, Sh., Zarghami, S., Farsi, A., Sedighi Dehkordi, F., Fazelinejad, A., Esfandiari, M. & Alizadeh, M. S.** (2012b) The mealybug *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) (Sternorrhyncha: Coccoidea: Pseudococcidae) in Khuzestan and Kish island, pp. 174. In: *Proceedings of the 20th Iranian Plant Protection Congress*. University of Shiraz, Shiraz, Iran.
- Mossadegh, M. S., Vafaei, Sh., Rezaii, N. & Zarghami, S.** (2013) The massive release of *Cryptolaemus montrouzieri* (Mulsant) for biological control of *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) in northern Khuzestan. *Summary of biological control conferences in agriculture and natural resources*. University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran. 30 pp.
- Mossadegh, M. S., Vafaei, Sh., Farsi, A., Zarghami, S., Esfandiari, M., Dehkordi, F. S., Fazelinejad, A. & Seyfollahi, F.** (2015) *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae), its natural enemies and host plants in Iran. *1st Iranian International Congress of Entomology*. Tehran, Iran. pp. 159-167.
- Mossa, A. T. H.** (2016) Green Pesticides: Essential Oils as Biopesticides in Insect-pest Management. *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology* 9 (5), 354-378.
- Mostafa, M. E., Youssef, N. M. & Abaza, A. M.** (2018). Insecticidal activity and chemical composition of plant essential oils against cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis*

- (Tinsley) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 6(2), 539-543.
- Nagrare, V. S., Kranthi, S., Kumar, R., Dhara, B., Amutha, M., Deshmukh, A. J., Sone, K. D. & Kranthi, R.** (2011) *Compendium of Cotton Mealybugs*. CICR publication, 42 pp.
- Najafpour Navai, M. & Mirza, M.** (2002) Investigation of chemical composition of essential oil *Oliveria decumbens*. *Iranian Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research* 15, 23-29. (In Persian)
- Odeyemi, O. O., Masika, P. & Afolayan, A. J.** (2008) Insecticidal activities of essential oil from the leaves of *Mentha longifolia* L. subsp. *capensis* against *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motschulsky) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *African Entomology* 16 (2), 221-225.
- Peschiutta, M. L., Pizzolitto, R. P., Ordano, M. A., Zaio, Y. P. & Zygadlo, J. A.** (2017) Laboratory evaluation of insecticidal activity of plant essential oils against the vine mealybug, *Planococcus ficus*. DOI: 10.5073/vitis.2017.56.79-83.
- Poucher W. A.** (1993) *Poucher's perfum, cosmetics and soaps*, Chapman and Hall, New York, 3, 40-628.
- Prishanthini, M. & Vinobaba, M.** (2014) Efficacy of some selected botanical extracts against the cotton mealy bug *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications* 4, 1-6.
- Rahman, S., Biswas, S. K., Barman, N. C. & Ferdous, F.** (2016) Plant extract as selective pesticide for Integrated Pest Management. Review article. *Biotechnological research* 2, 6-10.
- Riazi, M., Khajehali, J., Poorjavad, N. & Bolandnazar, A.** (2015) The mortality and repellency effect of a formulation of spearmint essential oil on the cotton melon aphid under greenhouse conditions. *Journal of Science and Technology of Greenhouse Culture* 6, 169-179 (In Persian with English summary).
- Robertson, J. L. & Preisler, H. K.** (1992) *Pesticide bioassays with arthropods*. 127pp. CRC Press. Florida,
- Roonjho A. R., Gillani W. A., Rasool, A., Akhtar, N., Mahmood, T., Arsalan, A., Afzal, M., Khan, I., Ranjha M. A., Irfan, M. & Khan, J.** (2013) Repellency effects of different plant extracts to cotton mealy bug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) (Hemiptera: pseudococciade). *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research* 26(3), 213-219.
- Saeidi, M. & Moharrampour, S.** (2013) Insecticidal and repellent activities of *Artemisia khorassanica* (Podl), *Rosmarinus officinalis* (L.) and *Mentha longifolia* (L.) essential oils on *Tribolium confusum* (Jacquelin du Val). *Journal of Crop Protection* 2, 23-31.
- Sajadi, S. E. & Hoseini, S. A.** (2011) Essential oil constituents of *O. decumbens* Vent. *Journal of Essential Oil Research* 14 (3), 220-221.
-

-
- Salem, N., Bachrouch, O., Sriti, J., Msaada, K., Khammassi, S., Hammami, M., Selmi, S., Boushah, E., Koorani, S., Abderraba, M., Marzouk, B., Limam, F. & Jemaa, J. M. B.** (2017) Fumigant and repellent potentials of *Ricinus communis* and *Mentha pulegium* essential oils against *Tribolium castaneum* and *Lasioderma serricornis*. *International Journal of Food Properties*.
- Sohrabi, F. & Kohanmoo, M. A.** (2016) Toxicity of neem and chinaberry extracts and additive effect of the essential oil *Salvia mirzayanii* on the date palm spider mite, *Oligonychus afrasiaticus* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Journal of Entomological Society of Iran* 37(1), 43-54. (In Persian with English summary)
- SPSS.** (2019) IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.
- Stepanycheva, E., Petrova, M., Chermenskaya, T. & Pavela, R.** (2019) Fumigant effect of essential oils on mortality and fertility of thrips *Frankliniella occidentalis* Perg. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International* 26(30), 30885-30892.
- Wang, Y., Watson, G. W. & Zhang, R.** (2010) The potential distribution of an invasive mealybug *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) and its threat to cotton in Asia. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology* 12, 403-416.
-